



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/782,193

02/19/2004

Hyo-Sun Hwang

12000.SMG.0008

4103

48356 7590 09/30/2008
MCNEELY BODENDORF LLP
P.O. BOX 19010
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER

MOUTAOUAKIL, MOUNIR

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2619

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/30/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/782,193	Applicant(s) HWANG ET AL.	
	Examiner MOUNIR MOUTAOUAKIL	Art Unit 2619	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MOUNIR MOUTAOUAKIL. (3) ____.

(2) ANDREW BONDENDORF. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 24 September 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 6.

Identification of prior art discussed: Everson et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative discussed the following: the difference between the prior art and the claimed invention and a suggested amendment to overcome the prior art and further distinguish the claimed invention. Examiner agreed that the suggested amendment overcomes the prior art of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Hassan Kizou/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2619